



## OPTIMIZING PLATELET-RICH PLASMA (PRP) INJECTIONS: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

### OPTIMIZING PRP

Chris Cherian, MD<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Gerard Malanga, MD<sup>4,5</sup>, Kenneth Mautner, MD<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Emory Sports Medicine Center, Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>2</sup>Emory University School of Medicine, Department of Orthopaedics, Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>3</sup>Emory University School of Medicine, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>4</sup>New Jersey Regenerative Institute, Cedar Knolls, New Jersey

<sup>5</sup>Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Newark, New Jersey

Corresponding Author Chris Cherian, MD: [chrischerianmd@gmail.com](mailto:chrischerianmd@gmail.com)

Submitted: June 4, 2020. Accepted: August 21, 2020. Published: November X, 2020.

### ABSTRACT

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an orthobiologic treatment that has gained popularity as a potential alternative treatment for various musculoskeletal conditions. The physiologic role of platelets in the healing cascade provides clarity regarding its potential as it releases various growth factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF- $\beta$ 1), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). However, there are various characteristics of PRP treatments including platelet count, presence or absence of leukocytes and red blood cells, as well as the use of an activating agent that introduces heterogeneity among preparations. This aim of this article is to provide clarity, where available, regarding the optimal characteristics for PRP treatments regarding tendon and ligament injuries as well as articular and muscular pathology.

**Key words:** *Articular Cartilage, Platelet-Rich Plasma, PRP, Tendinopathy, Osteoarthritis*

### INTRODUCTION

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an autologous product derived from whole blood that contains concentrated platelets. These platelets secrete growth factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF- $\beta$ 1), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) that contribute to its effectiveness for various conditions.<sup>1</sup> Studies have shown that over 8,000 athletes annually are treated

with PRP for various musculoskeletal conditions originating from tendon, ligament, or muscular sources.<sup>2</sup> However, there continues to be a discrepancy among the consistency and preparation of PRP products.<sup>3,4</sup> Various classification systems have been proposed to bring uniformity to these preparations and treatments.<sup>5,6</sup> Mautner, Malanga, Smith, et al., devised a classification system identifying the total platelet number injected, the presence of leukocytes and red blood cells, as well as if an activator was used (PLRA),

Bio Ortho J Vol 2(1):e1–e17; September X, 2020.

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. © Cherian et al.

to provide clarity and consistency among clinicians and researchers.<sup>7</sup>

This article aims to review the different factors that can influence PRP composition and to provide clarity, where available, on the optimal preparation for use in tendon, ligament, joint, and muscle-related pathologies.

## METHODS

A review was conducted of PubMed, Medline, and Google Scholar articles with search terms including osteoarthritis, articular cartilage, platelet count, leukocytes, erythrocytes, red blood cells, activation, ligament, ACL, hamstring, myotendinous, muscle, tendinosis, tendinopathy, platelet-rich plasma, PRP, randomized controlled trials (RCT), case series, case reports, animal studies, basic science investigations, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses were reviewed. Only studies investigating tendinopathy, osteoarthritis, and ligamentous or muscle injuries were included. We did not include studies investigating other orthobiologic injections such as stem cells, lipoaspirate, and bone marrow aspirate for osteoarthritis, tendinopathy, or muscle injuries. Articles chosen included both basic science and clinical research as certain areas, such as articular cartilage in the knee, have more well-designed studies compared to tendon or muscle-related research. We sought to include systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials when available.

## PLATELET COUNTS

Platelets originate from the bone marrow stem cell lineage of megakaryocytes and contain bioactive proteins that play an important role in the process of healing.<sup>3,8</sup> The normal range for platelet count in blood is 1.5 to 4.0 x10<sup>5</sup> platelets/ $\mu$ l.<sup>9</sup> When preparing PRP, the system of preparation can introduce heterogeneity in the final platelet count which can affect therapeutic outcomes.<sup>10</sup> Magalon, Bausset, Seratrice, et al., compared five different preparations and looked at outcomes related to cellular counts as well as growth factor concentrations.<sup>11</sup> Their team found significant variability among the preparations which could contribute to the diverse outcomes seen in the literature. Moreover, the authors noted that platelet

dose had a positive correlation with the number of growth factors delivered.

Literature has shown that the number of platelets can be increased based on a variety of factors. In particular, Davis, Boyd, McKinney et al.,<sup>12</sup> evaluated the effect of exercise in six patients over 12 weeks. The authors noted an increment of greater than 50% at week 12 compared to their week one values. A similar result was seen by Anz, Parsa, Romero-Creel, et al.,<sup>13</sup> in which 20 subjects participated in a 20-minute exercise regimen with pre and post blood samples obtained for analysis. The authors noted a 20% increase in the platelet concentration in buffy coat-based PRP, plasma-based PRP, and whole blood following exercise. Hamilton, Tol, Knez, et al.,<sup>14</sup> investigated 10 subjects that were exposed to 1 hour of submaximal exercise with results recorded immediately after and 18 hours later. They also demonstrated comparable increases in platelet concentrations as noted in these other studies. However, there was an inverse relationship seen in the samples that were not activated with decreases seen in the concentration of PDGF- $\beta$ , VEGF, and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1). In the samples that did receive activation (with calcium chloride) there was an increase in the concentration of the growth factors. Resistance exercises can also have a potential therapeutic role in the realm of PRP given its effect on cell counts.<sup>15,16</sup> Ahmadizad, Nouri-Habashi, Rahmani, et al.,<sup>17</sup> had 21 subjects participate in resistance exercises corresponding to 80% of one repetition maximum and noted a significant increase in platelet count immediately after 30-35 minutes of exercise. This effect, however, did taper off and returned to near baseline levels after 30 minutes of recovery. These studies provide some guidance when determining the role of exercise before a blood draw to optimize PRP content.

In addition to exercise, there are other methods used to increase platelet counts. Animal studies have shown that short term hypoxia (1 to 3 days) can increase platelet counts, but this effect decreases as exposure to hypoxia continues.<sup>18</sup> Blood flow restriction (BFR) training has been hypothesized to increase muscle mass and function by completing an ischemic and hypoxic metabolic exercise while performing low-load resistance training for short periods.<sup>19,20</sup> Therefore,

BFR may be of interest to scientists and clinicians who are performing PRP treatments. A study by Bashafaa, Fallahu, Nazifi, et al.,<sup>21</sup> evaluated the effects of cycling and blood flow restriction on hematologic factors. Their research noted a significant increase in not only platelet count, but also white blood cells, hemoglobin, and hematocrit. Though the number of studies is limited, BFR may serve as a nonpharmacologic mechanism to increase platelet count and can be of use in preparing PRP.

### LEUKOCYTES AND PRP

With the evolution of PRP treatments, there have been multiple studies investigating the effects of leukocytes on PRP preparations, though the exact role is not fully understood.<sup>22-27</sup> Leukocytes can be broken down into 5 major subtypes including neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes.<sup>28</sup> Neutrophils are the most abundant and the first to respond during infection and/or injury.<sup>29</sup> However, when neutrophils degranulate, they release enzymes such as collagenase, elastase, and gelatinase which cause degradation of cartilage matrix and increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS).<sup>30</sup> The inclusion of neutrophils appear to be detrimental to outcomes following PRP.<sup>31</sup> Monocytes, however, coordinate efforts between the adaptive and innate immune response, phagocytize, and promote repair of damaged tissues by secreting cytokines and chemokines that appear to aid in tissue healing.<sup>32,33</sup> Monocytes differentiate into macrophages and when exposed to interleukin-4 (IL-4), an increase in levels of arginase-1 is seen, which leads to an upregulation of precursors for collagen and support extracellular matrix deposition.<sup>33,34</sup> The differentiation of monocytes into their M1 and M2 subtypes may have effects on PRP outcomes.<sup>35</sup> The M1 subtype has been theorized to act as pro-inflammatory whereas the M2 subtype is more anti-inflammatory with implications in wound healing and regeneration.<sup>36</sup> Lymphocytes recognize pathogens and moderate the inflammatory response through the release of cytokines and antibodies, while also producing cytotoxic granules.<sup>37</sup> Eosinophils and basophils both provide cellular defense against parasites while also mediating allergic reactions.<sup>38</sup> Basophils also release platelet-activating factor (PAF)

in response to interleukin-3 (IL-3) which increase vascular permeability.<sup>39</sup> The dissection of the cellular functions of leukocyte subtypes may have critical implications on the use of leukocyte rich (LR-PRP) versus leukocyte poor (LP-PRP) PRP preparations and the breakdown of the specific leukocytes may prove to be most important of them all.

### RED BLOOD CELLS AND PRP

Red blood cells (RBCs) are known to have a toxic effect on chondrocytes and synoviocytes secondary to hemosiderin deposition in the synovium, irreversible apoptotic effects of RBC resorption, and viscoelastic change of articular cartilage.<sup>40-42</sup> In PRP preparations, the collection, and centrifugation of whole blood is an essential step to concentrate the essential cellular contents.<sup>3,43-45</sup> During this preparation, high shear forces can cause RBC membrane damage and hemolysis, leading to the release of hemoglobin, iron, and hemin from lysed RBCs.<sup>46</sup> Everts, Malanga, Paul, et al.,<sup>47</sup> highlighted the implications of RBCs on PRP as well as other regenerative therapies. In particular, the lack of natural scavenger proteins that would normally clear the byproducts of RBC breakdown is no longer present in the processing of regenerative therapies. Therefore, the presence of plasma free hemoglobin (PFH) and other products of hemolysis create an unstable environment in which ROS are developed, tissue damage occurs, increased cellular apoptosis is noted, and potentially negative outcomes in orthobiologic procedures.

### ACTIVATION OF PRP

Activation refers to processes that occur in the preparation of PRP aimed at degranulation of platelets to release growth factors from platelets as well as cleavage of fibrinogen to initiate matrix formation.<sup>48</sup> Activating PRP involves various substances with thrombin and calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) being the most common.<sup>49,50</sup> The use of thrombin as an activating agent resulted in a greater, rapid initial release of growth factors such as VEGF and PDGF that was superior to CaCl<sub>2</sub> and was sustained over a period of 10 days.<sup>51</sup> Type 1 collagen is another activating agent that is particularly attractive due to its native involvement in the clotting cascade.<sup>52</sup> Harrison, Vavken, Kevy, et al.,<sup>53</sup>

compared activating PRP with thrombin or collagen. Thrombin resulted in immediate release of growth factors while PRP activated with collagen released smaller amounts over 5 days. However, the use of collagen as an activator resulted in an 80% greater cumulative release of growth factors. Various agents can influence PRP activation, without a consensus as to which is superior, so it is important to report outcomes with details of activator used.

The use of anticoagulants in preparation of PRP may also influence growth factors and cell counts. Giraldo, Álvarez, and Carmona investigated the effects of sodium citrate (SC) and acid citrate dextrose (ACD) anticoagulants on equine PRP preparations.<sup>54</sup> The authors noted that in all preparations, there was no significant impact on cell counts. The authors did find that PDGF- $\beta$  was higher in the SC group while ACD group had superior concentrations of TGF $\beta$ -1, but differences were not significant. This supports findings by Lei, Gui, and Xiao<sup>55</sup> who also evaluated the effects of heparin, SC, ACD, and citrate-theophylline-adenosine-dipyridamole (CTAD) as anticoagulants for PRP. They noted a similar increase in TGF $\beta$ -1 concentration in the ACD and CTAD groups compared to heparin and SC. The rate of spontaneous activation was significantly lower in the ACD group based on an increased number of alpha and dense granules. Although the research is limited, we do know that the selection of anticoagulants and activators should be considered.

## PRP FOR TENDONS AND LIGAMENTS

### *Platelet Count*

Preparation of PRP for tendinopathy should begin with an assessment of platelet concentration on outcomes. There are various commercially available kits, with platelet concentration reported to be 1.5x to as high as 9x baseline values.<sup>11,56,57</sup> Regarding tendinopathy, clinicians must be cognizant of the optimal range to ensure the best outcomes. We now know that more is not always better when looking at the effect of PRP on tenocytes. Giusti, Rughetti, D'Ascenzo, et al.,<sup>58</sup> noted that in concentrations ranging from 1.5 – 2 x 10<sup>6</sup> platelet/ $\mu$ L, tenocytes were induced to proliferate and migrate. However, platelet concentrates below or above that range were noted to have lower angiogenic and cell proliferation potentials. Another *in vitro* study

investigated the effect of tenocyte stem cells (TSCs) from rabbits cultured in growth media of different PRP concentrations.<sup>59</sup> The authors cultured TSCs in growth media containing various concentrations (0%, 2%, 5%, 10%, 20%) of LR-PRP or LP-PRP. They noted that TSCs placed in media of 10% LR-PRP or LP-PRP showed greater cell differentiation as well as a dose-dependent proliferation response compared to the other concentrations. The platelet concentrations (10% group) in both LR-PRP and LP-PRP were about 3x higher than whole blood. The authors also noted a similar outcome as Giusti in which higher concentrations, such as 20%, did not yield better rates of differentiation or proliferation. Deal, Smith, Heard, et al., used LR-PRP for partial ulnar collateral ligament tears (UCL) in the elbow.<sup>60</sup> The authors reported successful reconstitution of the ligaments in 22 out of the 23 patients in the study with a platelet count reported being  $1.228 \pm 312 \times 10^6$  platelet/ $\mu$ L. When evaluating the literature on PRP for tendinopathy and/or ligamentous injury, it is imperative to report the actual platelet counts in preparations. This may play a role in whether we would expect a good outcome from the procedure as too low and too high platelet counts may adversely affect the healing of these tendons.

## LEUKOCYTES

Leukocytes can influence the outcome of PRP for tendinopathy. Mishra, Skrepnik, Edwards, et al.,<sup>61</sup> evaluated 225 patients with lateral epicondylitis in which 112 patients received LR-PRP. The authors found that compared to the control group who received bupivacaine with needle fenestration, the treatment group continued to see significant improvement in pain 6 months from the date of injection. Similar results were seen by Peerbooms, Sluimer, Buijn, et al.,<sup>62</sup> who compared LR-PRP to corticosteroid injection (CSI). They also noted an improvement in visual analog pain (VAS) scores and functional measures in the PRP group that exceeded the effect at 1 year. The authors subsequently reported continued improvement in the PRP group at the 2-year mark as well.<sup>63</sup> PRP for gluteus medius/minimus tendinopathy has been an area investigated with the use of PRP. Fitzpatrick, Bulsara, O'Donnell, et al., compared LR-PRP for gluteus medius/minimus tendinopathy and CSI in



80 patients. They noted a clinically and statistically significant improvement in hip function and pain in the PRP group at 12 weeks.<sup>64</sup> They followed these individuals to the 2-year mark and the PRP treatment group maintained improvement whereas the CSI group did not maintain improvement beyond 24 weeks.<sup>65</sup>

Despite the above studies showing positive benefits of LR-PRP on tendinopathy, there is animal data and basic science studies that suggest results might be superior with LP-PRP. Rubio-Azpeitia, Bilbao, Sánchez, et al.,<sup>66</sup> examined the differences in paracrine signaling of three different preparations – LR-PRP, pure PRP, and platelet-poor plasma (PPP) on tendinopathic cells. The authors noted that LR-PRP and pure PRP was superior to PPP for chemotactic and proliferative properties. PRP and PPP stimulated matrix anabolism the most whereas LR-PRP was the most proinflammatory. Zhou, Zhang, Wu, et al.,<sup>59</sup> also found that LR-PRP induces catabolic and inflammatory effects on tendons. Yan, Gu, Ran, et al., conducted animal studies investigating the effect of intratendinous LP-PRP compared to LR-PRP and saline.<sup>67</sup> After injecting achilles tendons of rabbit models with collagenase, they administered 200 $\mu$ L of either LP-PRP, LR-PRP, or saline. After 4 weeks, they noted decreased signal intensity on MRI, significantly better scores on histological grading, as well as transmission electron microscopy showing larger fibril diameters in the LP-PRP group. Cross, Cole, Spatny, et al.,<sup>68</sup> evaluated the *in vitro* effect of LP-PRP compared to LR-PRP on torn human rotator cuff tendons. Diseased supraspinatus tendons were placed in 6-well plates and cultured with either LP-PRP, LR-PRP, or a control for 96 hours. In moderately degenerated tendons, the authors noted a greater promotion of a normal collagen matrix as well as decreased concentrations of both TGF $\beta$ -1 and MMP-9 in LP-PRP media compared to LR-PRP, which are both associated with inflammation and matrix degradation. Mozzocca, McCarthy, Chowanec, et al., also reported results that supported the use of LP-PRP with the significant proliferation of tenocytes noted compared to control.<sup>4</sup> As far as human RCTs utilizing LP-PRP, Behera, Dhillon, Aggarwal, et al.,<sup>69</sup> compared LP-PRP to bupivacaine for recalcitrant lateral epicondylitis in 25 patients. Their study noted that compared to bupivacaine, LP-PRP provided superior

relief in VAS and function at 6 months in addition to the 1-year mark.

There does appear to be variability in outcomes regarding the use of LR-PRP as well as LP-PRP though no head to head tendinopathy studies have been performed to know if this is clinically significant. Also, it is important to realize that the reference to leukocytes does not clearly define which cell type(s) among this cell lineage is represented.<sup>70</sup> Based on preliminary basic science research and limited clinical studies, reducing leukocytes, especially neutrophils, may provide the optimal outcome. Further research carefully examining leukocyte subtypes is needed.

### RED BLOOD CELLS

RBCs can have a detrimental effect on PRP procedures.<sup>47</sup> However, when we look at the cascade of healing and compare the role of platelets and erythrocytes, platelets may have a greater effect on molecular cascades and potentially greater influence on PRP outcomes. Jacobson, Fufa, Abreu, et al.,<sup>71</sup> evaluated the effect of platelets and erythrocytes and their role in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) healing. The ACL fibroblasts cultured in scaffolds had a collage-fibrin matrix base that was either high in platelet concentration, contained only collage-fibrin, or contained both platelets and RBCs ( $1.5 \times 10^9$  RBCs/ml). The authors noted an increase in cytokine release in the concentration that was high in platelets compared to the other two groups. Also, the scaffolds containing the RBCs significantly inhibited human ACL fibroblast proliferation. Harrison, Vavken, Murray, et al., compared RBC concentrations on provisional scaffolds and their effects on ACL fibroblasts.<sup>72</sup> The three groups were divided in RBC concentrations that were physiologic ( $1 \times 10^9$  RBCs/ml), subphysiologic ( $1 \times 10^8$  RBCs/ml), and supraphysiologic ( $1 \times 10^{10}$  RBCs/ml). The authors noted that subphysiologic concentrations of RBCs stimulated greater fibroblast proliferation. Also, the concentrations of RBCs above whole blood (physiologic) showed suppression of cell proliferation over time but interestingly had a higher rate of DNA production at the 3-week mark compared to the other samples. Given these results and the known toxic effect of RBC breakdown products, it is best to avoid RBCs in PRP.

Bio Ortho J Vol 2(1):e1–e17; September X, 2020.

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. © Cherian et al.

### ACTIVATION

Various activating agents have been used in the treatment of tendinopathy, including thrombin, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and soluble collagen.<sup>48</sup> For tendinopathy, studies have been performed in which the outcomes of PRP for lateral epicondylitis and gluteus medius have shown favorable responses, regardless of activation.<sup>57,64,65,69</sup> Given the heterogeneity in outcomes and the fact that the injected PRP is immediately exposed to collagen, exogenous activation appears unnecessary.<sup>48</sup> Endogenous activation also appears to be more gradual with a longer and more sustained release of growth factors.<sup>53</sup> The PLRA summary for tendons and ligaments is shown in Figure 1.

#### PRP FOR ARTICULAR CARTILAGE

##### *Platelet Count*

There is a lack of definitive literature when discussing the optimal platelet count for intraarticular treatment of PRP. Various systematic reviews evaluating the use of PRP for knee osteoarthritis (KOA) and hip osteoarthritis (HOA) have been conducted with reported platelet concentrations ranging from 2–10× physiologic levels.<sup>73,74</sup> We will further dissect these studies based on those that are RCTs. (Table 1)

Many of the studies listed in Table 1 do not document or reveal their total platelet count. Those that did provide some clarity in certain trends. The majority of studies that used a platelet concentration that was 4x-6x that of whole blood did report results that showed the superiority of PRP compared to various controls or treatments including saline, HA, and ozone.<sup>75,76</sup> However, Filardo, Kon, Di Martino, et al.,<sup>77</sup> compared the use of LR-PRP versus HA for KOA over one year. The authors reportedly used PRP with platelet counts around 5x of whole blood values, but at the one-year mark, there was no significant difference compared to HA. It is also worth mentioning that the authors did

freeze and subsequently thaw their autologous PRP which introduces the possibility of this product being platelet lysate rather than actual PRP.

Cole, Karas, Hussey, et al.,<sup>78</sup> compared PRP to HA for KOA. Their PRP product featured a platelet concentration of  $1.73 \pm 0.05 \times$  that of whole blood. The authors did not find PRP was superior to HA for KOA at the one-year mark. On the other hand, Patel, Dhillon, Aggarwal, et al.,<sup>79</sup> noted that a 10x concentration of platelets did not yield superior results past 6 months. However, other studies showing 9-13x concentrations did show positive results.<sup>80</sup> The RCTs for HOA were less in number and inconsistent with PRP preparation results compared to KOA.<sup>81-84</sup> Further studies are needed for HOA. Given the variability in patient to patient baseline platelet counts, it is difficult to make absolute conclusions. Better research with true delivered platelet counts is needed to determine the optimal platelet counts for articular cartilage.

##### *Leukocytes*

The presence of leukocytes in PRP for intraarticular use has been a debated topic in the literature.<sup>73,76,85,86</sup> A meta-analysis by Riboh, Saltzman, Yanke, et al., identified 9 studies that either had level 1 or 2 evidence comparing the efficacy of LR-PRP versus LP-PRP for KOA.<sup>87</sup> The studies included a total of 1055 patients that showed no difference in adverse effect rate between LR-PRP versus LP-PRP. However, outcomes such as WOMAC and the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) were significantly better in the LP-PRP group compared to HA or placebo. No difference was seen with LR-PRP group when compared to HA or placebo. PRP preparations with LR-PRP often contain RBCs which may confound outcomes and contribute to its inferior efficacy. Smith<sup>88</sup> conducted an RCT involving 30 patients, evaluating the safety and efficacy of LP-PRP for KOA. The group noted a significant improvement in WOMAC scores in the LP-PRP group at a year mark when compared to the placebo (saline) group, along with no adverse effects. Similar findings were noted when Simental-Mendía, Vilchez-Cavazos, Pena-Martinez, et al., compared oral acetaminophen (500mg every 8 hours) to 3 intraarticular injections (once every 2 weeks) of LP-PRP for KOA.<sup>89</sup> The 33 patients who were treated with the series of

**FIG. 1** PLRA summary for tendons and ligaments.

P	L	R	A
1.2 – 2 × 10 <sup>6</sup> platelets/μL	-	-	-

*\*The values listed in the table above are the recommendations of the authors based on the available data from the research presented.*

LP-PRP showed sustained significant improvements in VAS, WOMAC, and Short-Form 12 (SF-12). The absence of leukocytes, specifically neutrophils, in PRP for intraarticular treatments appears to provide the best outcome although a direct head to head study should be done to confirm this.

**Red Blood Cells**

The presence of RBCs with PRP injections can be detrimental to the intraarticular chondrocytes due to their breakdown products<sup>40-42</sup>. Braun, Kim, Chu, et al., compared the effects of LR-PRP, LP-PRP, RBC concentrate, and PPP on human fibroblast-like

**TABLE 1** Randomized Controlled Trials of PRP for Articular Cartilage

	Number of Patients	Amount/ Type of PRP	Number of PRP Injections	Platelet Count	Leukocyte & Neutrophil Count	Red Blood Cell	Activator	PRP Superior?	Location
Cerza et al., <sup>108</sup>	120 Patients ⇒ 60 PRP ⇒ 60 HA	5.5 mL - exact type of PRP not reported	4 Total (administered weekly)	NR	NR	NR	NR	Yes	Knee
Cole et al., <sup>78</sup>	99 Patients ⇒ 49 PRP ⇒ 50 HA	4 mL of LP-PRP	1	1.73 ± 0.05× Whole blood concentration	- Neutrophil: NR	NR	None	No	Knee
Dallari et al., <sup>81</sup>	111 Patients ⇒ 44 PRP ⇒ 36 HA ⇒ 31 PRP + HA	5 mL - exact type of PRP not reported	3 Total (administered weekly)	NR	NR	NR	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Hip
Di Sante et al., <sup>82</sup>	43 Patients ⇒ 21 PRP ⇒ 22 HA	4 mL of LP-PRP	3 Total (administered weekly)	1-1.5× Whole blood concentration	-	NR	NR	No	Hip
Doria et al., <sup>83</sup>	80 Patients ⇒ 40 PRP ⇒ 40 HA	5 mL - exact type of PRP not reported	3 Total (administered weekly)	NR	NR	NR	NR	No	Hip
Duymus et al., <sup>80</sup>	102 Patients ⇒ 33 PRP ⇒ 34 HA ⇒ 35 Ozone	3-4 mL of LR-PRP	1	9-13× Whole blood concentration	+ Neutrophil: NR	Minimal	None	Yes	Knee
Filardo et al., <sup>85</sup>	183 Patients ⇒ 94 PRP ⇒ 89 HA	5 mL of LR-PRP	3 Total (Administered weekly)	4.6 ± 1.4× Whole blood concentration	+ Neutrophil: NR	NR	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	No	Knee

(continued)

**TABLE 1** Randomized Controlled Trials of PRP for Articular Cartilage (*continued*)

Gormeli et al., <sup>75</sup>	162 Patients ⇒ 39 multiple PRP injection ⇒ 44 single PRP injection ⇒ 39 HA ⇒ 40 Control (saline)	5 mL – exact type of PRP not reported	3 Total in multiple PRP injection group (administered weekly)  1 in the single PRP injection group	5.3 × in multiple PRP injection group  5.2 × in single PRP injection group	NR	NR	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Knee
Kraeutler et al., <sup>84</sup>	30 Patients (32 hips) ⇒ 18 PRP ⇒ 14 HA	NR	3 Total (Administered weekly)	NR	NR	NR	NR	Yes	Hip
Patel et al., <sup>79</sup>	⇒ 74 Patients (148 knees) ⇒ 25 (50 knees) multiple PRP injections ⇒ 26 (52 knees) single PRP injection ⇒ 23 (46 knees) control (saline)	8 mL of LP-PRP	2 Total in multiple PRP injection group (given 3 weeks apart)  1 in the single PRP injection group	10× normal concentration	-	NR	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Knee
Paterson et al., <sup>109</sup>	19 Patients 10 PRP 9 HA	3 mL of LR-PRP	1	NR	+  Neutrophil: NR	NR	UV light	No	Knee
Raeissadat et al., <sup>76</sup>	139 Patients ⇒ 77 PRP ⇒ 62 HA	4-6 mL of LR-PRP	1	4-6× normal concentration	+  Neutrophil: NR	NR	None	Yes	Knee

(*continued*)



**TABLE 1** Randomized Controlled Trials of PRP for Articular Cartilage (*continued*)

Sanchez et al., <sup>94</sup>	153 Patients ⇒ 79 PRP ⇒ 74 HA	2 mL of LP-PRP	1	NR	-	NR	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Knee
Smith <sup>88</sup>	30 Patients ⇒ 15 PRP ⇒ 15 Control (Saline)	4-7 mL of LP-PRP	3 Total (administered weekly)	NR	-	NR	NR	Yes	Knee
Vaquerizo et al., <sup>92</sup>	90 Patients ⇒ 48 PRP ⇒ 42 HA	8 mL of LP-PRP	3 Total (administered weekly)	NR	-	NR	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Knee

NR = Not reported.

synoviocytes (FLS).<sup>90</sup> FLS is found in normal synovium and can play a role in cell signaling that contributes and mediate cartilage catabolism. The authors noted that RBC concentrate resulted in significantly greater cell death when compared to saline. Furthermore, measurements of IFN- $\gamma$  levels were the greatest in RBCs, which is known to play a role in inducing cell death.<sup>91</sup> Removing RBCs in PRP products for any joint injection is recommended to eliminate the potential of harm caused by these cells.

#### Activation

Both exogenously and endogenously activated PRP has shown promise in the outcomes of intra-articular injections. Vaquerizo, Plasencia, Arribas, et al., compared 3 injections of activated PRP with CaCl<sub>2</sub> to HA for KOA in a RCT and noted a superior effect of PRP regarding pain and function.<sup>92</sup> This result is also consistent with other studies that used CaCl<sub>2</sub> as an activating agent for KOA.<sup>79,93,94</sup>

Other studies have shown success with endogenous activation for KOA. Gobbi, Karnatzikos, Mahajan et al., conducted a case series of patients treated with 2 intraarticular injections with autologous PRP and noted an increase in IKDC scores at 6 and 12 months despite not using an exogenous activating agent.<sup>95</sup> PRP without exogenous activation was also used by other researchers for KOA, with a decrease in pain and functional improvements reported.<sup>76,96,97</sup> Successful outcomes have been reported with endogenous and exogenous activating agents for articular cartilage.

#### Adjuncts for Cartilage

Some adjuncts may be beneficial for intraarticular injections. One is the nano dosing of dexamethasone. Although corticosteroids at high doses (>3 mg/dose) have been shown to have a deleterious effect on cartilage,<sup>98</sup> lower doses may have a positive effect on chondrocytes. In vitro studies have found that dexamethasone, when used at a dose of 100 nanometers (nM), can upregulate gene expression of cartilage matrix components such as aggrecan, dermatopontin, and collagen type XI.<sup>99</sup> Li, Wang, Kopesky, et al.,<sup>100</sup> noted that cytokines interleukin-1 (IL-1), as well as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), play important role in signaling chondrocyte apoptosis in posttraumatic osteoarthritis (PTOA). When PTOA human cartilage cells were harvested from knee joints and exposed to 100nM doses of dexamethasone in vitro, the apoptotic effects of IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$  were inhibited, thus rescuing these chondrocytes from cell death.

Frank, Hung, Krishnan, et al.,<sup>101</sup> investigated the effect of microdoses of triamcinolone acetonide on cartilage. The authors used articular cartilage discs from the femoropatellar grooves of 1-2 week old calves and assigned them to three different media (5-6 disks per group) after incubation: “normal cartilage” with just the serum-free medium alone, “inflamed cartilage” which was medium supplemented with TNF- $\alpha$  with or without interleukin-6 (IL-6), or “inflamed and injured cartilage” which was the same as the “inflamed cartilage” but with compression to cause injury. The groups were treated with varying doses

of triamcinolone ranging from 100 picometers (pm) to 100 micrometers (µm). The authors ultimately noted that triamcinolone can have a dose-dependent protective effect in the inflamed and injury groups. Specifically, a dose of 10 µm showed a trend towards protection in the inflamed cartilage group. A dose of 10 µm as well as 100 nM was protective against glycosaminoglycan loss in all three groups.

Another adjunct that may be of interest is doxycycline, a tetracycline antibiotic that has traditionally been used for various bacterial infections.<sup>102</sup> Animal models have noted a potential role for this medication as an adjunctive treatment because it downregulates various MMPs and collagenases.<sup>103,104</sup> It has been theorized that MMP-13 plays an important role in the progression of degradation of articular cartilage in osteoarthritis.<sup>105,106</sup> Lee, O'Malley, Friel et al.,<sup>107</sup> evaluated the in vivo effect of doxycycline on human mesenchymal stem cell (hMSC) chondrogenesis. They noted that hMSCs cultures treated with 2 µg/mL resulted in reduced MMP-13 mRNA expression and proteins at 21 days. Although the literature is sparse when it comes to clinical uses, the basic science literature that is available does indicate a possible role of doxycycline as an adjunctive treatment. More clinical studies are needed with this as well as other adjuncts to determine their precise role in treating joints with PRP. The PLRA summary for articular cartilage is shown in Figure 2.

### PRP FOR MUSCLE

#### Platelet Count

PRP may have a role in the treatment of certain myopathic conditions, though there is much less available evidence compared to tendons and cartilage.<sup>110</sup>

**FIG. 2** PLRA summary for articular cartilage.

P	L	R	A
$6 \times 10^5 - 2.4 \times 10^6$ platelets/µL <sup>‡</sup>	-	-	+/-

<sup>‡</sup> The value is based on our interpretation that platelet concentration 4-6x that of normal levels (150,000 – 400,000) may be the ideal range for articular cartilage

\*The information listed in the table above are the recommendations of the authors based on the available data from research presented

Li, Usas, Poddar, et al.,<sup>111</sup> investigated the role of PRP in muscle cell proliferation. The study reported that LP-PRP, with a platelet count of  $2 \times 10^6$  platelet/µL, significantly enhanced the cell proliferation of human muscle-derived progenitor cells (hMDPCs) in vitro. Other basic science animal studies did show improvements in muscle wound and strain healing with subsequent strength in those treated with PRP compared to saline.<sup>112,113</sup> However, the exact platelet counts were not reported. Hamilton, Tol, Almusa, et al., conducted a double-blinded, RCT to determine if there is a role of PRP in enhancing return to play after acute hamstring injuries.<sup>114</sup> The authors compared PRP, platelet-poor plasma (PPP), or no injection for imaging confirmed hamstring injuries in 90 professional athletes. The authors concluded that there was no significant difference in PRP or PPP when compared to no injection at all for these injuries. Similarly, Rettig, Meyer, Bhadra, et al., compared LR-PRP to rehabilitation for acute grade 2 muscle/myotendinous injuries in football players. They noted a median return to play (RTP) was 20 days versus 17 in the PRP and control groups, respectively. The platelet count was not mentioned.<sup>115</sup> Hamid, Mohamed, Yusof et al., conducted a similar study in soccer players comparing LR-PRP with a platelet count of  $1.29 \times 10^6$  to rehabilitation for acute grade 2 hamstring injuries and noted the median RTP was 27 days in the PRP versus 43 in the control.<sup>116</sup>

Miroshnychenko, Chang, and Dragoo<sup>117</sup> compared the use of LP-PRP to PPP for enhancing the differentiation of skeletal myoblasts. The mean platelet count in the PRP group was  $3.71 \pm 1.26x$  that of whole blood. The authors noted an increase in proliferation with PRP, but no evidence of differentiation. However, the PPP group had significant induction of myoblast differentiation when compared to PRP. Although PPP contains a very low concentration of platelets, it still contains growth factors such as PDGF, IGF-1 that may explain why it has enhanced differentiation capabilities with myoblasts. Much of the literature available investigating the role of PRP in muscle injuries have looked at outcomes of acute muscle injuries. The role of PRP in chronic injuries has not been reported to our knowledge and may have different outcomes.

The number of investigations evaluating the effects of PRP or PPP on muscle recovery is limited, but it appears that PPP has a role in enhancing recovery of muscles from injury while promoting differentiation towards myoblasts and not fibroblasts.

**Leukocytes**

LP-PRP has shown to enhance proliferation, but not differentiation of skeletal myoblasts.<sup>117</sup> Literature is sparse regarding the exact role of leukocytes when used in PRP or PPP injections for muscle-related pathologies. When we evaluate the phases of muscle healing in animal studies, we are aware that neutrophils are key players as they induce oxidative damage and inhibit the body from resolving contraction-induced muscle injuries.<sup>118</sup> Therefore, it is important to be aware of the timeline on when to possibly use LR-PRP for muscle injuries. Halpern, Chaudhury, and Rodeo have recommended that for the first 24 hours, LR-PRP likely should not be used or consideration should be given for PPP at that time.<sup>119</sup> Researchers have also reported that the relationship between LR-PRP and TGF-β1 may harm the outcome of studies.<sup>25</sup> TGF-β1 can play a role in inducing fibrosis development in skeletal muscle, but also inhibiting myogenic differentiation.<sup>120</sup> It is important to understand that although basic science studies are noting the above changes, there is a paucity of high-level clinical research available for us to truly evaluate the effect of leukocytes on PRP for muscle pathology at this time.

**Red Blood Cells**

The effect of RBCs on outcomes of PRP for muscle-related injuries is limited. As noted earlier, the presence of PFH, heme, and iron after RBC damage can create a toxic environment and a negative outcome for PRP and other orthobiologic procedures.<sup>47</sup> Studies note that the presence of RBCs contribute to an increase in cell death and a decrease in anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4.<sup>90</sup> Available research appears to recommend against the inclusion of RBCs, but further high-level research is needed.

**Activators**

The studies evaluating the use of activating agents for muscle-related pathology are not as robust as tendons and cartilage. Studies have noted positive results

with animal studies when thrombin was used as an activating agent.<sup>111,112</sup> Hamilton, Tol, Almusa, et al., chose not to activate their PRP for acute hamstring injuries.<sup>114</sup> Though the evidence is scarce, natural activation via direct exposure to collagen is likely sufficient for muscle pathology. Further research is warranted in this area.

**Adjuncts**

Losartan as an antifibrotic agent may be of interest as an adjunct to PRP therapy to improve muscle healing.<sup>121</sup> Losartan can inhibit pathways involved in TGF-β1 signaling<sup>122,123</sup>, which may be useful as TGF-β1 has known negative implications in muscle recovery.<sup>120,124</sup> Terada, Ota, Kobayashi et al., investigated the effect of tibialis anterior muscle contusion recovery with control, PRP with and without oral losartan, as well as losartan alone in animal models.<sup>125</sup> It was noted that 20 μL of PRP, with reported 5.5× increase in platelet concentration, was injected into the mice one day after injury in the PRP groups with and without losartan. The losartan groups received an oral dose of 10 mg/kg/day at day 3 after injury and then daily until the end of the study. At conclusion, the authors noted that the PRP and PRP with losartan groups resulted in accelerated muscle regeneration at two weeks compared to control. Groups with losartan had significantly reduced the area of fibrotic scar tissue compared to those without. These findings are similar to results reported Bedair, Karthikeyan, and Quintero in which the use of angiotension II receptor blockers resulted in reduced fibrous tissue formation in a dose-dependent manner.<sup>126</sup> Although animal models, these studies demonstrate that losartan may be considered as an adjunct for PRP to reduce muscle fibrosis but further studies are needed. The PLRA summary for muscles is shown in Figure 3.

**FIG. 3** PLRA summary for muscles.

P	L	R	A
<1.5 × 10 <sup>5</sup> platelets/μL	-	-	+/-

*\*The information listed in the table above are the recommendations of the authors based on the available data from research presented*

## CONCLUSIONS

PRP preparations currently have a vast amount of variability that needs to be standardized to effectively make decisions about their therapeutic efficacy. Several factors can influence platelet and growth factor that is released from platelets including diet, exercise, etc. The decision on the type of PRP used should be made based upon the area of tissue pathology. RBCs should be removed from the PRP product regardless of the target tissue. However, optimal platelet counts, presence or absence of leukocytes, and the use of activators need to be clearly defined in future studies to determine the best options for optimal outcomes. We highlight the use of the PLRA system of classification to guide as a means of standardization and guidance for specific tissue pathology.

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Conception and design: All authors.  
Administrative support: All authors.  
Provision of study materials or patients: All authors.  
Collection and assembly of data: All authors.  
Data analysis and interpretation: All authors.  
Manuscript writing: All authors.  
Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

## FUNDING SOURCE

This work was not funded by any organization.

## REFERENCES

1. Arnoczky SP, Sheibani-Rad S. The basic science of platelet-rich plasma (PRP): what clinicians need to know. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rev* 2013;21(4):180-185.
2. Wasterlain AS, Braun HJ, Harris AH, et al. The systemic effects of platelet-rich plasma injection. *Am J Sports Med* 2013;41(1):186-193.
3. Dhurat R, Sukesh M. Principles and Methods of Preparation of Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Review and Author's Perspective. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg* 2014;7(4):189-197.
4. Mazzocca AD, McCarthy MB, Chowanec DM, et al., Platelet-rich plasma differs according to preparation method and human variability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012;94(4):308-316.
5. Mishra A, Harmon K, Woodall J, et al. Sports medicine applications of platelet rich plasma. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2012;13(7):1185-1195.
6. DeLong JM, Russell RP, Mazzocca AD. Platelet-rich plasma: the PAW classification system. *Arthroscopy* 2012;28(7):998-1009.
7. Mautner K, Malanga GA, Smith J, et al. A call for a standard classification system for future biologic research: the rationale for new PRP nomenclature. *Pm r*. 2015;7(4 Suppl):S53-s59.
8. Menter DG, Kopetz S, Hawk E, et al. Platelet "first responders" in wound response, cancer, and metastasis. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2017;36(2):199-213.
9. Buckley MF, James JW, Brown DE, et al. A novel approach to the assessment of variations in the human platelet count. *Thromb Haemost* 2000;83(3):480-484.
10. Sheth U, Simunovic N, Klein G, et al. Efficacy of autologous platelet-rich plasma use for orthopaedic indications: a meta-analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2012;94(4):298-307.
11. Magalon J, Bausset O, Serratrice N, et al. Characterization and comparison of 5 platelet-rich plasma preparations in a single-donor model. *Arthroscopy*. 2014;30(5):629-638.
12. Davis RB, Boyd DG, McKinney ME, et al. Effects of exercise and exercise conditioning on blood platelet function. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1990;22(1):49-53.
13. Anz AW, Parsa RS, Romero-Creel MF, et al. Exercise-mobilized platelet-rich plasma: short-term exercise increases stem cell and platelet concentrations in platelet-rich plasma. *Arthroscopy* 2019;35(1):192-200.
14. Hamilton B, Tol JL, Knez W, et al. Exercise and the platelet activator calcium chloride both influence the growth factor content of platelet-rich plasma (PRP): overlooked biochemical factors that could influence PRP treatment. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49(14):957-960.
15. Hulmi JJ, Myllymaki T, Tenhumaki M, et al. Effects of resistance exercise and protein ingestion on blood leukocytes and platelets in young and older men. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010;109(2):343-353.
16. Ahmadizad S, Nouri-Habashi A, Rahmani H, et al. Platelet activation and function in response to high intensity interval exercise and moderate continuous exercise in CABG and PCI patients. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc* 2016;64(4):911-919.

Bio Ortho J Vol 2(1):e1–e17; September X, 2020.

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. © Cherian et al.



17. Ahmadizad S, El-Sayed MS, Maclaren DP. Responses of platelet activation and function to a single bout of resistance exercise and recovery. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc* 2006;35(1-2):159-168.
18. McDonald TP, Cottrell M, Clift R. Effects of short-term hypoxia on platelet counts of mice. *Blood* 1978;51(1):165-175.
19. Hughes L, Paton B, Rosenblatt B, et al. Blood flow restriction training in clinical musculoskeletal rehabilitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51(13):1003-1011.
20. Scott BR, Loenneke JP, Slattery KM, et al. Blood flow restricted exercise for athletes: A review of available evidence. *J Sci Med Sport* 2016;19(5):360-367.
21. Bashafaat H, Afzalpour MI, Fallahi AA, et al. The effects of acute interval cycling and blood flow restriction on hematologic factors of beginner cyclists. *Turkish J Sport Exercise* 2017;19(1):70-76.
22. Hanisch K, Wedderkopp N. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) treatment of noninsertional Achilles tendinopathy in a two case series: no significant difference in effect between leukocyte-rich and leukocyte-poor PRP. *Orthop Res Rev* 2019;11:55-60.
23. Yerlikaya M, Talay Calis H, Tomruk Sutbeyaz S, et al. Comparison of effects of leukocyte-rich and leukocyte-poor platelet-rich plasma on pain and functionality in patients with lateral epicondylitis. *Arch Rheumatol* 2018;33(1):73-79.
24. Kikuchi N, Yoshioka T, Taniguchi Y, et al. Optimization of leukocyte-poor platelet-rich plasma preparation: a validation study of leukocyte-poor platelet-rich plasma obtained using different preparer, storage, and activation methods. *J Exp Orthop* 2019;6(1):24.
25. Kobayashi Y, Saita Y, Nishio H, et al. Leukocyte concentration and composition in platelet-rich plasma (PRP) influences the growth factor and protease concentrations. *J Orthop Sci* 2016;21(5):683-689.
26. Dohan Ehrenfest DM, Bielecki T, Jimbo R, et al. Do the fibrin architecture and leukocyte content influence the growth factor release of platelet concentrates? An evidence-based answer comparing a pure platelet-rich plasma (P-PRP) gel and a leukocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin (L-PRF). *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2012;13(7):1145-1152.
27. Scott A, LaPrade RF, Harmon KG, et al. Platelet-rich plasma for patellar tendinopathy: a randomized controlled trial of leukocyte-rich PRP or leukocyte-poor PRP versus saline. *Am J Sports Med* 2019;47(7):1654-1661.
28. Sarrafzadeh O, Dehnavi AM, Banaem HY, et al. The best texture features for leukocytes recognition. *J Med Signals Sens* 2017;7(4):220-227.
29. Rosales C. Neutrophil: a cell with many roles in inflammation or several cell types? *Front Physiol* 2018;9:113.
30. Wright HL, Moots RJ, Bucknall RC, et al. Neutrophil function in inflammation and inflammatory diseases. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2010;49(9):1618-1631.
31. Nicolini FA, Wilson AC, Mehta P, et al. Comparative platelet inhibitory effects of human neutrophils and lymphocytes. *J Lab Clin Med* 1990;116(2):147-152.
32. Nichols BA, Bainton DF, Farquhar MG. Differentiation of monocytes. Origin, nature, and fate of their azurophil granules. *J Cell Biol* 1971;50(2):498-515.
33. Ogle ME, Segar CE, Sridhar S, et al. Monocytes and macrophages in tissue repair: Implications for immunoregenerative biomaterial design. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2016;241(10):1084-1097.
34. Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2008;8(12):958-969.
35. Italiani P, Boraschi D. From monocytes to m1/m2 macrophages: phenotypical vs. functional differentiation. *Front Immunol* 2014;5:514.
36. Lana JF, Huber SC, Purita J, et al. Leukocyte-rich PRP versus leukocyte-poor PRP - The role of monocyte/macrophage function in the healing cascade. *J Clin Orthop Trauma* 2019;10(Suppl 1):S7-s12.
37. Reinherz EL, Schlossman SF. The differentiation and function of human T lymphocytes. *Cell* 1980;19(4):821-827.
38. Wen T, Rothenberg ME. The Regulatory Function of Eosinophils. *Microbiol Spectr*. 2016;4(5).
39. Cromheecke JL, Nguyen KT, Huston DP. Emerging role of human basophil biology in health and disease. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2014;14(1):408.
40. Roosendaal G, Vianen ME, Wenting MJ, et al. Iron deposits and catabolic properties of synovial tissue from patients with haemophilia. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1998;80(3):540-545.
41. Roosendaal G, TeKoppele JM, Vianen ME, et al. Blood-induced joint damage: a canine in vivo study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42(5):1033-1039.

42. van Meegeren ME, Roosendaal G, Jansen NW, et al. Blood-induced joint damage: the devastating effects of acute joint bleeds versus micro-bleeds. *Cartilage* 2013;4(4):313-320.
43. Fadadu PP, Mazzola AJ, Hunter CW, et al. Review of concentration yields in commercially available platelet-rich plasma (PRP) systems: a call for PRP standardization. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2019.
44. Oudelaar BW, Peerbooms JC, Huis In 't Veld R, et al. Concentrations of blood components in commercial platelet-rich plasma separation systems: a review of the literature. *Am J Sports Med* 2019;47(2):479-487.
45. Kushida S, Kakudo N, Morimoto N, et al., Platelet and growth factor concentrations in activated platelet-rich plasma: a comparison of seven commercial separation systems. *J Artif Organs* 2014;17(2):186-192.
46. Schaer DJ, Buehler PW, Alayash AI, et al. Hemolysis and free hemoglobin revisited: exploring hemoglobin and hemin scavengers as a novel class of therapeutic proteins. *Blood* 2013;121(8):1276-1284.
47. Everts PA, Malanga GA, Paul RV, et al. Assessing clinical implications and perspectives of the pathophysiological effects of erythrocytes and plasma free hemoglobin in autologous biologics for use in musculoskeletal regenerative medicine therapies. *A review. Regen Ther* 2019;11:56-64.
48. Cavallo C, Roffi A, Grigolo B, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma: The choice of activation method affects the release of bioactive molecules. *Biomed Res Int* 2016;2016:6591717.
49. Roussy Y, Bertrand Duchesne MP, Gagnon G. Activation of human platelet-rich plasmas: effect on growth factors release, cell division and in vivo bone formation. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18(5):639-648.
50. Su CY, Kuo YP, Nieh HL, et al. Quantitative assessment of the kinetics of growth factors release from platelet gel. *Transfusion* 2008;48(11):2414-2420.
51. Fufa D, Shealy B, Jacobson M, et al. Activation of platelet-rich plasma using soluble type I collagen. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;66(4):684-690.
52. Lee CH, Singla A, Lee Y. Biomedical applications of collagen. *Int J Pharm.* 2001;221(1-2):1-22.
53. Harrison S, Vavken P, Kevy S, et al. Platelet activation by collagen provides sustained release of anabolic cytokines. *Am J Sports Med* 2011;39(4):729-734.
54. Giraldo CE, Álvarez ME, Carmona JU. Effects of sodium citrate and acid citrate dextrose solutions on cell counts and growth factor release from equine pure-platelet rich plasma and pure-platelet rich gel. *BMC Veterinary Research* 2015;11(1):60.
55. Lei H, Gui L, Xiao R. The effect of anticoagulants on the quality and biological efficacy of platelet-rich plasma. *Clin Biochem* 2009;42(13-14):1452-1460.
56. Mautner K, Malanga G, Colberg R. Optimization of ingredients, procedures and rehabilitation for platelet-rich plasma injections for chronic tendinopathy. *Pain Manag.* 2011;1(6):523-532.
57. Fitzpatrick J, Bulsara MK, McCrory PR, et al. Analysis of platelet-rich plasma extraction: variations in platelet and blood components between 4 common commercial kits. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2017;5(1):2325967116675272.
58. Giusti I, Rughetti A, D'Ascenzo S, et al. Identification of an optimal concentration of platelet gel for promoting angiogenesis in human endothelial cells. *Transfusion* 2009;49(4):771-778.
59. Zhou Y, Zhang J, Wu H, et al. The differential effects of leukocyte-containing and pure platelet-rich plasma (PRP) on tendon stem/progenitor cells - implications of PRP application for the clinical treatment of tendon injuries. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2015;6:173.
60. Deal JB, Smith E, Heard W, et al. Platelet-rich plasma for primary treatment of partial ulnar collateral ligament tears: mri correlation with results. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2017;5(11):2325967117738238.
61. Mishra AK, Skrepnik NV, Edwards SG, et al. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma for chronic tennis elbow: a double-blind, prospective, multicenter, randomized controlled trial of 230 patients. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42(2):463-471.
62. Peerbooms JC, Sluimer J, Bruijn DJ, et al. Positive effect of an autologous platelet concentrate in lateral epicondylitis in a double-blind randomized controlled trial: platelet-rich plasma versus corticosteroid injection with a 1-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38(2):255-262.
63. Gosens T, Peerbooms JC, van Laar W, et al. Ongoing positive effect of platelet-rich plasma versus corticosteroid injection in lateral epicondylitis: a double-blind randomized controlled trial with 2-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 2011;39(6):1200-1208.
64. Fitzpatrick J, Bulsara MK, O'Donnell J, et al. The effectiveness of platelet-rich plasma injections in gluteal tendinopathy: a randomized, double-blind

- controlled trial comparing a single platelet-rich plasma injection with a single corticosteroid injection. *Am J Sports Med* 2018;46(4):933-939.
65. Fitzpatrick J, Bulsara MK, O'Donnell J, et al. Leucocyte-rich platelet-rich plasma treatment of gluteus medius and minimus tendinopathy: a double-blind randomized controlled trial with 2-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 2019;47(5):1130-1137.
  66. Rubio-Azpeitia E, Bilbao AM, Sánchez P, et al. The properties of 3 different plasma formulations and their effects on tendinopathic cells. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44(8):1952-1961.
  67. Yan R, Gu Y, Ran J, et al. Intratendon Delivery of Leukocyte-Poor Platelet-Rich Plasma Improves Healing Compared With Leukocyte-rich platelet-rich plasma in a rabbit achilles tendinopathy model. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45(8):1909-1920.
  68. Cross JA, Cole BJ, Spatny KP, et al., Leukocyte-reduced platelet-rich plasma normalizes matrix metabolism in torn human rotator cuff tendons. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43(12):2898-2906.
  69. Behera P, Dhillon M, Aggarwal S, et al. Leukocyte-poor platelet-rich plasma versus bupivacaine for recalcitrant lateral epicondylar tendinopathy. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. 2015;23(1):6-10.
  70. Canetti EF, Keane J, McLellan CP, et al. Comparison of capillary and venous blood in the analysis of concentration and function of leucocyte sub-populations. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2016;116(8):1583-1593.
  71. Jacobson M, Fufa D, Abreu EL, et al. Platelets, but not erythrocytes, significantly affect cytokine release and scaffold contraction in a provisional scaffold model. *Wound Repair Regen* 2008;16(3):370-378.
  72. Harrison SL, Vavken P, Murray MM. Erythrocytes inhibit ligament fibroblast proliferation in a collagen scaffold. *J Orthop Res* 2011;29(9):1361-1366.
  73. Laver L, Marom N, Dnyanesh L, et al. PRP for degenerative cartilage disease: a systematic review of clinical studies. *Cartilage* 2017;8(4):341-364.
  74. Shahid M, Kundra R. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) for knee disorders. *EFORT Open Rev* 2017;2(1):28-34.
  75. Gormeli G, Gormeli CA, Ataoglu B, et al. Multiple PRP injections are more effective than single injections and hyaluronic acid in knees with early osteoarthritis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2017;25(3):958-965.
  76. Raeissadat SA, Rayegani SM, Hassanabadi H, et al. Knee osteoarthritis injection choices: platelet-rich plasma (prp) versus hyaluronic acid (a one-year randomized clinical trial). *Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord* 2015;8:1-8.
  77. Filardo G, Kon E, Di Martino A, et al. Platelet-rich plasma vs hyaluronic acid to treat knee degenerative pathology: study design and preliminary results of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:229.
  78. Cole BJ, Karas V, Hussey K, et al. Hyaluronic acid versus platelet-rich plasma: a prospective, double-blind randomized controlled trial comparing clinical outcomes and effects on intra-articular biology for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45(2):339-346.
  79. Patel S, Dhillon MS, Aggarwal S, et al. Treatment with platelet-rich plasma is more effective than placebo for knee osteoarthritis: a prospective, double-blind, randomized trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2013;41(2):356-364.
  80. Duymus TM, Mutlu S, Dernek B, et al. Choice of intra-articular injection in treatment of knee osteoarthritis: platelet-rich plasma, hyaluronic acid or ozone options. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25(2):485-492.
  81. Dallari D, Stagni C, Rani N, et al. Ultrasound-guided injection of platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid, separately and in combination, for hip osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled study. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44(3):664-671.
  82. Di Sante L, Villani C, Santilli V, et al. Intra-articular hyaluronic acid vs platelet-rich plasma in the treatment of hip osteoarthritis. *Med Ultrason* 2016;18(4):463-468.
  83. Doria C, Mosele GR, Caggiari G, et al. Treatment of early hip osteoarthritis: ultrasound-guided platelet rich plasma versus hyaluronic acid injections in a randomized clinical trial. *Joints* 2017;5(3):152-155.
  84. Kraeutler MJ, Miller S, Houck DA, et al. A Double-blind, randomized controlled trial comparing platelet-rich plasma versus hyaluronic acid for early osteoarthritis of the hip joint. *Arthroscopy* 2019;35(12):e16.
  85. Filardo G, Di Matteo B, Di Martino A, et al. Platelet-rich plasma intra-articular knee injections show no superiority versus viscosupplementation: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43(7):1575-1582.

86. Bennell KL, Hunter DJ, Paterson KL. Platelet-rich plasma for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2017;19(5):24.
87. Riboh JC, Saltzman BM, Yanke AB, et al. Effect of leukocyte concentration on the efficacy of platelet-rich plasma in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44(3):792-800.
88. Smith PA. Intra-articular Autologous Conditioned Plasma Injections Provide Safe and Efficacious Treatment for Knee Osteoarthritis: An FDA-Sanctioned, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Clinical Trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44(4):884-891.
89. Simental-Mendia M, Vilchez-Cavazos JF, Pena-Martinez VM, et al. Leukocyte-poor platelet-rich plasma is more effective than the conventional therapy with acetaminophen for the treatment of early knee osteoarthritis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2016;136(12):1723-1732.
90. Braun HJ, Kim HJ, Chu CR, et al. The effect of platelet-rich plasma formulations and blood products on human synoviocytes: implications for intra-articular injury and therapy. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42(5):1204-1210.
91. Lee SH, Kwon JY, Kim SY, et al. Interferon-gamma regulates inflammatory cell death by targeting necroptosis in experimental autoimmune arthritis. *Sci Rep* 2017;7(1):10133.
92. Vaquerizo V, Plasencia MA, Arribas I, et al. Comparison of intra-articular injections of plasma rich in growth factors (PRGF-Endoret) versus Durolane hyaluronic acid in the treatment of patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthroscopy* 2013;29(10):1635-1643.
93. Filardo G, Kon E, Pereira Ruiz MT, et al. Platelet-rich plasma intra-articular injections for cartilage degeneration and osteoarthritis: single- versus double-spinning approach. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012;20(10):2082-2091.
94. Sanchez M, Fiz N, Azofra J, et al. A randomized clinical trial evaluating plasma rich in growth factors (PRGF-Endoret) versus hyaluronic acid in the short-term treatment of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthroscopy* 2012;28(8):1070-1078.
95. Gobbi A, Karnatzikos G, Mahajan V, et al. Platelet-rich plasma treatment in symptomatic patients with knee osteoarthritis: preliminary results in a group of active patients. *Sports Health* 2012;4(2):162-172.
96. Jang SJ, Kim JD, Cha SS. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injections as an effective treatment for early osteoarthritis. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2013;23(5):573-580.
97. Rayegani SM, Raeissadat SA, Taheri MS, et al. Does intra articular platelet rich plasma injection improve function, pain and quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee? A randomized clinical trial. *Orthop Rev (Pavia)* 2014;6(3):5405.
98. Wernecke C, Braun HJ, Dragoo JL. The Effect of Intra-articular Corticosteroids on Articular Cartilage: A Systematic Review. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2015;3(5):2325967115581163.
99. Derfoul A, Perkins GL, Hall DJ, et al. Glucocorticoids promote chondrogenic differentiation of adult human mesenchymal stem cells by enhancing expression of cartilage extracellular matrix genes. *Stem Cells* 2006;24(6):1487-1495.
100. Li Y, Wang Y, Kopesky P, et al. Dexamethasone can rescue cytokine-induced chondrocyte apoptosis in bovine and human cartilage. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2013;21:S40-S41.
101. Frank E, Hung HH, Krishnan Y, et al. Dose-dependent chondroprotective effects of triamcinolone acetonide on inflamed and injured cartilage using an in vitro model. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2019;27:S176.
102. Cunha BA, Sibley CM, Ristuccia AM. Doxycycline. *Ther Drug Monit.* 1982;4(2):115-135.
103. Fortier LA, Motta T, Greenwald RA, et al. Synoviocytes are more sensitive than cartilage to the effects of minocycline and doxycycline on IL-1alpha and MMP-13-induced catabolic gene responses. *J Orthop Res* 2010;28(4):522-528.
104. Smith GN, Yu LP Jr, Brandt KD, et al. Oral administration of doxycycline reduces collagenase and gelatinase activities in extracts of human osteoarthritic cartilage. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25(3):532-535.
105. Billinghamurst RC, Dahlberg L, Ionescu M, et al. Enhanced cleavage of type II collagen by collagenases in osteoarthritic articular cartilage. *J Clin Invest* 1997;99(7):1534-1545.
106. Nelson F, Dahlberg L, Lavery S, et al. Evidence for altered synthesis of type II collagen in patients with osteoarthritis. *J Clin Invest* 1998;102(12):2115-2125.
107. Lee HH, O'Malley MJ, Friel NA, et al. Effects of doxycycline on mesenchymal stem cell chondrogenesis and cartilage repair. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2013;21(2):385-393.



108. Cerza F, Carni S, Carcangiu A, et al. Comparison between hyaluronic acid and platelet-rich plasma, intra-articular infiltration in the treatment of gonarthrosis. *Am J Sports Med* 2012;40(12):2822-2827.
109. Paterson KL, Nicholls M, Bennell KL, et al. Intra-articular injection of photo-activated platelet-rich plasma in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a double-blind, randomized controlled pilot study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2016;17:67.
110. Chellini F, Tani A, Zecchi-Orlandini S, et al. Influence of platelet-rich and platelet-poor plasma on endogenous mechanisms of skeletal muscle repair/regeneration. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20(3).
111. Li H, Usas A, Poddar M, et al. Platelet-rich plasma promotes the proliferation of human muscle derived progenitor cells and maintains their stemness. *PLoS One* 2013;8(6):e64923.
112. Tsai WC, Yu TY, Chang GJ, et al. Platelet-rich plasma releasate promotes regeneration and decreases inflammation and apoptosis of injured skeletal muscle. *Am J Sports Med* 2018;46(8):1980-1986.
113. Hammond JW, Hinton RY, Curl LA, et al. Use of autologous platelet-rich plasma to treat muscle strain injuries. *Am J Sports Med* 2009;37(6):1135-1142.
114. Hamilton B, Tol JL, Almusa E, et al. Platelet-rich plasma does not enhance return to play in hamstring injuries: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49(14):943-950.
115. Rettig AC, Meyer S, Bhadra AK. Platelet-rich plasma in addition to rehabilitation for acute hamstring injuries in nfl players: clinical effects and time to return to play. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2013;1(1):2325967113494354.
116. A Hamid MS, Mohamed Ali MR, Yusof A, et al. Platelet-rich plasma injections for the treatment of hamstring injuries: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42(10):2410-2418.
117. Miroshnychenko O, Chang WT, Dragoo JL. The use of platelet-rich and platelet-poor plasma to enhance differentiation of skeletal myoblasts: implications for the use of autologous blood products for muscle regeneration. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45(4):945-953.
118. Pizza FX, Peterson JM, Baas JH, et al. Neutrophils contribute to muscle injury and impair its resolution after lengthening contractions in mice. *J Physiol* 2005;562(Pt 3):899-913.
119. Halpern BC, Chaudhury S, Rodeo SA. The role of platelet-rich plasma in inducing musculoskeletal tissue healing. *Hss j* 2012;8(2):137-145.
120. Verrecchia F, Mauviel A. Transforming growth factor-beta and fibrosis. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007;13(22):3056-3062.
121. Huard J, Bolia I, Briggs K, et al. Potential usefulness of losartan as an antifibrotic agent and adjunct to platelet-rich plasma therapy to improve muscle healing and cartilage repair and prevent adhesion formation. *Orthopedics* 2018;41(5):e591-e597.
122. Hildebrand KA, Frank CB. Scar formation and ligament healing. *Can J Surg* 1998;41(6):425-429.
123. el-Agroudy AE, Hassan NA, Foda MA, et al. Effect of angiotensin II receptor blocker on plasma levels of TGF-beta 1 and interstitial fibrosis in hypertensive kidney transplant patients. *Am J Nephrol* 2003;23(5):300-306.
124. Huard J, Li Y, Fu FH. Muscle injuries and repair: current trends in research. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84(5):822-832.
125. Terada S, Ota S, Kobayashi M, et al. Use of an antifibrotic agent improves the effect of platelet-rich plasma on muscle healing after injury. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2013;95(11):980-988.
126. Bedair HS, Karthikeyan T, Quintero A, et al. Angiotensin II receptor blockade administered after injury improves muscle regeneration and decreases fibrosis in normal skeletal muscle. *Am J Sports Med* 2008;36(8):1548-1554.